

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CASE NO.: 1:24cv20543

JUAN MENDEZ,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ALPHA & OMEGA CALBIRATION SERVICES LLC
AND LIZ Y. LAGO,

Defendants.

_____ /

COMPLAINT FOR FLSA OVERTIME WAGE VIOLATION(S)

Plaintiff, Juan Mendez, sues Defendants, Alpha & Omega Calbiration Services LLC and Liz Y. Lago, as follows:

Parties, Jurisdiction, and Venue

1. **Plaintiff, Juan Mendez**, is over 18 years old and has been a *sui juris* resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida, at all times material.
2. Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants, as the term “employee” is defined by 29 U.S.C. §203(e).
3. Plaintiff was a non-exempt employee of Defendants.
4. Plaintiff consents to participate in this lawsuit.
5. **Defendant, Alpha & Omega Calbiration Services LLC**, is a *sui juris* Florida for-profit corporation that was authorized to conduct and actually conducted its for-profit business in Miami-Dade County, Florida, at all times material, where it maintains its principal place of business.

6. **Defendant, Liz Y. Lago**, was and is an owner/officer/director/manager of the corporate Defendant for the time relevant to this lawsuit. She ran its day-to-day operations, was responsible for all operational decisions, hired and fired Plaintiff, and was partially or totally responsible for paying his wages.

7. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b)(ii) because Defendants transact business in this District, because Defendants maintained their principal place of business in this District, because Plaintiff worked and was due to be paid in Miami-Dade County, and because most if not all of the operational decisions were made in this District.

8. This Court has original jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal question claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 26 U.S.C. §201, *et seq.*

9. Any/all condition(s) precedent to filing this lawsuit occurred and/or was satisfied by Plaintiff.

10. Plaintiff retained the undersigned counsel and agreed to pay a reasonable fee for all services rendered.

Background Facts

11. Defendants were Plaintiff's direct employers, joint employers and co-employers for purposes of the FLSA, as the term "employer" is defined by 29 U.S.C. §203(d).

12. Defendants regularly employed two or more employees for the relevant time period that handled goods or materials that travelled through interstate commerce, or used instrumentalities of interstate commerce, thus making Defendants' business an enterprise covered under the Fair Labor Standards Act.

13. Defendants have been, at all times material, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce in the course of their calibration and repair of parts for the aviation, automotive, manufacturing, marine, medical, and pharmaceutical industries.

14. Defendants received, tested, calibrated, repaired, and shipped parts and equipment used in the aviation, automotive, manufacturing, marine, medical, and pharmaceutical industries that moved through interstate commerce.

15. Furthermore, Defendants regularly and recurrently obtain, solicit, exchange, and send funds to and from outside of the State of Florida, use telephonic transmissions going outside of the State of Florida to conduct business, and transmit electronic information through computers, the internet, via email, and otherwise outside of the State of Florida.

16. Defendants also engage in e-commerce through the internet on their website, <https://www.aocalibration.com/>, which they registered through GoDaddy LLC (a foreign corporation).

17. Defendants' annual gross revenues derived from this interstate commerce are believed to be in excess of \$500,000.00 for the relevant time and/or exceeded \$125,000.00 for each fiscal quarter in which Plaintiff worked.

18. To the extent that records exist regarding the exact dates of Plaintiff's employment exist, such records are in the exclusive custody of Defendants.

19. Plaintiff worked for Defendants from approximately June 2019 to February 1, 2024.

20. Defendants required Plaintiff to clock in and out of work through a program/application called "On the Clock."

21. Defendants paid Plaintiff on an hourly basis.

22. Plaintiff's work for Defendants was actually in or so closely related to the movement

of commerce while he worked for Defendants that the Fair Labor Standards Act applies to Plaintiff's work for Defendants in the course of his work receiving, inspecting, documenting, shipping, and delivering parts that arrived from outside of the state of Florida to be sent to locations outside of the State of Florida for Defendants.

Liability

23. Plaintiff regularly and routinely worked more than 40 hours in a workweek for Defendants.

24. Defendants failed and refused to pay Plaintiff overtime wages calculated at time and one-half of his regular hourly rate of pay for all hours worked over 40 hours in a given workweek by revising and/or altering Plaintiff's time entries and by automatically deducting 45 minutes for each day that Plaintiff worked without relieving him of all work duties for all time automatically deducted.

25. Defendants willfully and intentionally refused to pay Plaintiff wages at a rate of time and one-half times Plaintiff's regular rate of pay for each of the overtime hours worked during the relevant time period.

26. Defendants either recklessly failed to investigate whether their failure to pay Plaintiff an overtime wage for the hours worked during the relevant time period violated the Federal Wage Laws of the United States, they intentionally misled Plaintiff to believe that Defendants were not required to pay an overtime rate, and/or Defendants concocted a scheme pursuant to which the deprived Plaintiff the overtime pay earned.

27. Any/all condition(s) precedent to filing this lawsuit occurred and/or was satisfied by Plaintiff.

28. Plaintiff retained the undersigned counsel and agreed to pay a reasonable fee for all

services rendered.

29. Plaintiff is entitled to a back pay award of overtime wages for all overtime hours he worked, plus an equal amount as a penalty, plus all attorneys' fees and costs.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff, Juan Mendez, demands the entry of a judgment in her favor and against Defendants, Alpha & Omega Calibration Services LLC and Liz Y. Lago, jointly and severally after trial by jury and as follows:

- a. That Plaintiff recover compensatory overtime wage damages and an equal amount of liquidated damages as provided under the law and in 29 U.S.C. § 216(b);
- b. That Plaintiff recover pre-judgment interest on all unpaid overtime wages if the Court does not award liquidated damages;
- c. That Plaintiff recover an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses pursuant to the FLSA;
- d. That Plaintiff recover all interest allowed by law;
- e. That Defendants be Ordered to make Plaintiff whole by providing appropriate overtime pay and other benefits wrongly denied in an amount to be shown at trial and other affirmative relief;
- f. That the Court declare Defendants to be in willful violation of the overtime provisions of the FLSA; and
- g. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Juan Mendez, demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of February 2024,

s/Brian H. Pollock, Esq.
Brian H. Pollock, Esq.
Fla. Bar No. 174742
brian@fairlawattorney.com
FAIRLAW FIRM
135 San Lorenzo Avenue
Suite 770
Coral Gables, FL 33146
Tel: 305.230.4884
Counsel for Plaintiff